

WG9 & WG10 Midyear Meeting 2015

The Sedona Conference Working Group 9 on Patent Damages and Remedies and Working Group 10 on Patent Litigation Best Practices Joint Midyear Meeting

Monday, May 18 to Tuesday, May 19, 2015 The Four Seasons Hotel, Miami, Florida

DAY ONE: Monday, May 18

7:30 - 8:30	Continental Breakfast & Registration
8:30 - 8:45	Mission and overview (Hoffman, Jeffries)
8:45 - 10:00	Achieving the goals of patent reform: legislative efforts v. bench and bar (<i>Armitage, Arnold, Hoffman*, Rogers</i>)
	Materials: WG10 Commentary: Intro Chapter [Aug. 2014 published version, DRAFT update]
10:00 - 10:15	Morning Break
10:15 - 11:15	 A fresh look at the timing and substance of preliminary infringement and invalidity contentions and amendment practices—Should "preliminary contentions" be considered as "final contentions" absent showing good cause for changing? (<i>Conti, J., Cooper, Hoffman*, Scott</i>) Materials: WG10 Commentary: Case Management Issues from the Judicial Perspective Chapter; WG10 Commentary: Discovery Chapter; WG9 PCDCs
11:15 - 12:15	 Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter Heightened pleading standards (Brandt, Fishman, Koeltl, J., Leffler, Spears*, Stevenson) Materials: WG10 Commentary: Case Management Issues from the Judicial Perspective Chapter; WG10 Heightened Pleading Standards Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter; IPO Litigation Committee, Proposed Resolution: Patent Infringement Complaint Pleading Requirements-Proposed Legislation in View of Judicial Conference Action to Amend Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
12:15 - 1:30	Lunch (provided)



1:30 - 2:45	 Development of a preliminary compensatory damages contentions (PCDCs) process, including the drafting of local patent damages rules (<i>Beckwith, Bissoon, J., Finocchio, Jarosz, Jeffries*, Morando</i>) Materials: WG9 Commentary; WG9 PCDCs Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter
2:45 - 3:45	 Impact of Teva v. Sandoz on the claim construction process (Earle, Jordan, J., Keller, Rydstrom*, Tripodi, Vakil) Materials: WG5 Report on the Markman Process; WG10 Commentary: Case Management Issues from the Judicial Perspective Chapter; WG10 Teva/ Claim Construction Process Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter; Jason Rantanen, Teva, Nautilus, and Change without Change; Dennis Crouch, Giving Deference to the Supreme Court in Teva v. Sandoz
3:45 - 4:00	Afternoon Break
4:00 - 5:00	 Impact of Octane Fitness/Highmark: proposed best practices for the litigation and management of attorney-fee shifting motions (Arenz*, Arnold, Conti, J., Trybus, Poynter Waterland, Westgard) Materials: WG9 Commentary; WG10 Commentary: Case Management Issues from the Judicial Perspective Chapter; WG10 Exceptional Case Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter; Patrick Arenz and Christine Yun-Sauer, High Octane – The New Landscape of Exceptional Cases

* = Panel Leader(s)



WG9 & WG10 Midyear Meeting 2015

The Sedona Conference Working Group 9 on Patent Damages and Remedies and Working Group 10 on Patent Litigation Best Practices Joint Midyear Meeting

Monday, May 18 to Tuesday, May 19, 2015 The Four Seasons Hotel, Miami, Florida

DAY TWO: Tuesday, May 19

7:30	-	8:30	Continental Breakfast & Registration
8:30	-	9:30	FRAND/SEP: defining the issues in controversy and proposing the scope for a prospective Sedona commentary on the subject (<i>Dubiansky, Dunstan, Long*, Selwyn, Snyder</i>)
			Materials: WG9 Commentary; WG9 SEP-FRAND Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter; Anne Layne-Farrar and Koren W. Wong-Ervin, An Analysis of the Federal Circuit's Decision in Ericsson v. D-Link; Mark Selwyn and Timothy Syrett, New standard essential patent policy may facilitate negotiations; IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws, Sec. 6 (Patents)
9:30	-	10:30	Use of mediators and special masters as a case management tool for narrowing the issues in dispute and improving prospects for settlement (<i>Bissoon, J., Burns*, Delehanty, Holdreith, Thompson, Wine</i>)
			Materials: WG10 Commentary: Settlement Mediators, Case Management Mediators, and Special Masters Chapter [DRAFT]; International Institute for Conflict Prevention & Resolution, Report of the CPR Patent Mediation Task Force
10:30	-	10:45	Morning Break
10:45	-	11:45	Impact of <i>Alice v. CLS Bank</i> —when and how the courts should address Section 101 patentability (<i>Atkins, Hanlon-Leh, Hochberg, J., Hutz*, Supko</i>)
			Materials: WG10 Commentary: Case Management Issues from the Judicial Perspective Chapter; WG10 Alice/Section 101 Patentability Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter
11:45	-	1:00	Best practices for USPTO/PTAB proceedings and effective coordination between USPTO/PTAB and district court proceedings (<i>Banowit*</i> , <i>Boalick</i> , <i>J.</i> , <i>Hochberg</i> , <i>J.</i> , <i>Morin</i> , <i>Quinn</i> , <i>J.</i> , <i>Rea</i>)



Materials: WG10 Commentary: Parallel USPTO Proceedings Chapter; WG10 Parallel USPTO Proceedings "Stage 2" Drafting Team Proposed Project Charter

1:00Grab and Go Lunch (provided)

* = Panel Leader(s)